

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/007,919	12/07/2001	Kevin J. Urlaub	10017269-1	5334
7590 11/28/2003 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
			PRONE, JASON D	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/007,919	URLAUB ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason Prone	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No.	ovember_2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 and 21-37 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 28-37</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-27</u> is/are rejected.							
,	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/007,919

Art Unit: 3724

٠,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mager in view of Martinez et al. Mager discloses the invention including a conveyor (Fig. 1), an actuator attached to the conveyor (42) comprising a slide (41), a first jaw fixedly attached to the actuator (31), a second jaw located opposite the first jaw (34) and slidably attached to the slide to move towards and away from the first jaw (Fig. 3), a controller, with a programmable logic device, connected to the conveyor and actuator (Fig. 1), that the second jaw comprises a pad (44), a electromechanical valve that is electrically/fluidly connected to the controller and fluidly/electrically connected to the conveyor (Fig. 5), that the conveyor comprises a carriage (23) and at least one rail (15), that the carriage is slidably attached to the rail and fixedly attached to the actuator (Fig. 3), and that the actuator is attached to the conveyor so that the slide is oriented at an angle with respect to the conveyor (Fig. 3) but fails to disclose that the second jaw linearly moves towards and away from the first jaw. Martinez et al. teaches a gripper (10) with a second jaw (30) that linearly moves towards and away (D) from a first jaw (50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/007,919

Art Unit: 3724

of the invention, to have provided Mager linearly moving second jaw, as taught by Martinez et al., to minimize the space required for the jaw to perform its function.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-12 and 28-37 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Claims 1-12 and 28-37 are allowable because of the apparatus with a grasper that grips the work piece and urges the work piece against a blade to sever the work piece as set forth in the claims. None of the prior art cited discloses an apparatus that incorporates the use of a grasper with a fixed jaw and a linearly moving jaw that grasps a work piece then moves along a rail urging the work piece against a blade and severing the work piece. Therefore, in view of what has been stated above, the claims are allowable over the art of record.
- 5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/007,919

Art Unit: 3724

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Harris et al., Radek et al., Vandersyde et al., Kruk et al., Bergomi, and Dobrindt.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 703-605-4287. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/007,919

Art Unit: 3724

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

JΡ

November 21, 2003

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700